



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,137	08/07/2008	Chouki Aktouf	71247-0064	5568
22502	7590	02/25/2011	EXAMINER	
CLARK & BRODY			BOWERS, BRANDON	
1700 Diagonal Road, Suite 510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22314			2825	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/25/2011		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/589,137	AKTOUF, CHOUKI
	<b>Examiner</b> BRANDON W. BOWERS	<b>Art Unit</b> 2825

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Non-Final

(1) BRANDON W. BOWERS. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Christopher Brody. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 February 2011

**Time:** 5:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*35 USC 101 rejections*

Claims discussed:

*1 and 6*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jack Chiang/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2825

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Bowers called to discuss the current office policy with regard to 35 USC 101 rejections and claim 1. Language was discussed to overcome the 35 USC 101 rejection. Attorney Brody agreed to speak with the applicant regarding the proposed changes. Additionally, the dependency of claim 6 on claim 5 needs to be changed as claim 5 has been cancelled. .